Rerouted, not derailed: resuming a young life after cancer

Paediatric oncologists are highly focused on how to minimise and manage the long-term damage their treatments can inflict on a young patient’s health. But for survivors, the most immediate challenge is how to get an interrupted life back on track.

Today is the last day for 18-year-old Alexander Mangels. Standing with his mother in the pastel-toned Kinderonkologie unit of the University Hospital of Münster, he bids a warm farewell to his paediatric cancer team. His walk is strong, but his grip trembles. “Cancer has stolen a year of my life,” he says, between gritted teeth. The awkward teenager with his bald head takes a last look at the nurses who have accompanied him for more than a year. The dates of his chemotherapy treatment are engraved on his memory: 17 November 2013 to 1 February 2015.

When the diagnosis of leukaemia is first pronounced, Alexander is only 16 years old, and his adolescence is brought to an abrupt end. Goodbye to college, first flirtations, parties. Alexander wanted to rebel or fall in love. Instead, he has fallen ill; it is his body that revolts against him.

In place of turning into a man, he becomes a child again: fragile, submissive, over-protected by his relatives, sustained by doctors. A room on the 7th floor of an octagonal concrete tower defines his horizon – it looks like a space shuttle when he is in a good mood, he says. The walls are plastered with children’s drawings and joyful teddy bears hiding intensive care plugs. He spends his days in bed or walking the hospital corridors attached to a drip. His new friends are cancer patients like him. “Some were young but still died,” he says. There is
not a tear or a sob; his gaze is fixed and his lips tightly pursed.

During his treatment, he says, he was not afraid. It was more a sense of fury. “Why me, why that? You accuse God, the world, the others... And then you save your energy and go to war.” But he never thinks about the future, his focus is on the present, his daily life summed up by the results of his blood tests. “If the values collapsed, I collapsed too,” he remembers.

At first, he believes chemotherapy is ‘only’ supposed to make his hair fall out. As he methodically swallows his pills during the eleven treatment cycles, spending one week at home, then one week in the hospital, he discovers the side effects: headaches, nausea, extreme tiredness and weakness. “There were days I didn’t even have the strength to speak. I could only eat pre-chewed stuff.” He refuses to talk to a psychologist, saying: “There is nothing to talk about. Cancer is just there.”

His cancer remission feels like freedom. Alexander will return home and start an apprenticeship as a sales assistant in September. As for the transition to normal life, “Well, we'll see once it is there,” he retorts. The only thing Alexander will remember from the 7th floor of “Kinderonko” is patience. “You learn to accept the illness. At least you’ll have quite a story to tell to your kids one day.”

Alexander survived childhood cancer and thanks to medical progress, he is not the only one. An estimated 65,500 people in Germany alone have survived cancer in childhood. Across Europe, the number is closer to 600,000, and growing, which amounts to 1 in every 500 adults. While some of these former patients will go on to lead lives untroubled by what they have been through, others may suffer long-term problems, particularly as a result of having been treated with radiotherapy or chemotherapy. “The increase in the childhood cancer cure rate has been dramatic over the past twenty years,” says Gabriele Calaminius, a paediatrician at the University Hospital Münster, with soft blue eyes and scruffy blond hair. Most of the doctors and nurses at the paediatric cancer unit are women: “Probably the maternal instinct”, she smiles.

Having just said goodbye to Alexander, she sighs and looks out at the horizon: the windows of her office give a view over the peaceful Nordrhein-Westphalia countryside that surrounds the city. “From a 20% cure rate in the 60s, we went to nearly 78% today – the proportion varies depending on the type of cancer. We owe this success to the proliferation of clinical trials and rapid progress in chemotherapy cures, which usually work better in kids than in adults,” she says. “We now have more children who survive than who die. But what happens
to them when they walk out the doors of the ‘Kinderonko’, when they’re finished with their treatments? The price of their recovery remains very high.”

Like the sword of Damocles, while the likelihood of a cancer relapse fades with time, former childhood cancer patients are always at increased risk of various health problems arising from side effects of their treatment. Going through chemotherapy or radiation therapy during childhood has consequences. Chemotherapy with anthracyclines can lead to heart problems. Some treatments may deteriorate ovarian function, impairing fertility. Radiation may stunt growth and enhance the risk of cerebral stroke or secondary cancers. As for the psychological consequences, these can be similar to post-traumatic distress syndrome, and increase the risk of suffering depression or finding it hard to integrate socially in later life.

Monitoring and prevention
The likelihood of suffering these late effects will vary according to the treatment protocol, the dose levels and the young person’s age and medical condition. A US study estimated that more than eight in ten people treated for cancer in childhood in the past suffered seriously disabling or life-threatening chronic conditions by the age of 45 – but this figure includes protocols that were more harsh than those used today.

The improving survival rate among patients with childhood cancers presents an important challenge to medical teams: How can late effects be prevented and monitored? As Gabrielle Calaminus points out, aside from a few local, isolated initiatives, there is no standard infrastructure to track the health of former patients, beyond the traditional follow-up consultations in the five years after diagnosis. “We know that a long-term follow-up check is required for patients, because they are young and have their whole lives ahead of them. There is no deadline for these late effects. They can occur at any time, or never.”

To reduce the frequency, severity and impact of late effects among survivors of childhood cancers is precisely the goal of the PanCare project. Founded in 2008 as a pan-European network of doctors, oncology institutes, former patients and parents’ associations, PanCare aims to ensure that every former patient receives the best long-term medical care, which it does through developing guidelines, promoting research, and organising conferences, lobbying and exchanges of information.

Peter Kaatsch, a member of PanCare, and director of the Deutsche Kinder Krebsregister (KKR), which since 1980 has been centralising incidence data on childhood cancers, emphasises the size of the problem. “While we have made considerable progress in improving the survival rate, the number of childhood cancers is still unfortunately increasing in Europe, by about 1% per year – 11% in the past 10 years,” he says.

“This is a terribly frustrating statistic. Despite decades of international research, we still know little about the aetiology of childhood and adolescent cancers. We don’t have enough cases to identify the risk factors, which can be environmental, genetic, familial...” Getting answers, he says, requires international cooperation that goes beyond clinical trials of treatment protocols. “There is no place for competition in paediatric oncology,” Kaatsch emphasises. “We all work in a team. The PanCare network summarises this spirit: cooperation.”

Kaatsch coordinates PanCare Life, which focuses on the long-term effects of cancer treatment on fertility, hearing and quality of life. The project, which aims to establish a European database of late effects, brings together scientists and oncologists from eight European countries, through 16 institutional partners, and is funded by European Union to the tune of €6 million.

There’s great enthusiasm for the work, but it is not without obstacles. The starting point is to gather and harmonise statistical data from a cohort of 12,000 patients spread across the eight countries: France, Germany, Czech Republic, Denmark, Italy, the Netherlands, Switzerland and Ireland.

“There is no common evaluation standard yet. If you add in variables such as differences in infrastructure, methodology and language, you can imagine the difficulty! The Czech Republic, for example, saw its medical data completely scattered after the communist period.” Other discrepancies include the criteria for being diagnosed as sterile: in Germany this can happen after unprotected sex has failed to lead to pregnancy after 12
Oncologists have to bear in mind that former patients may feel ambivalent about being followed up all their lives. How can they be effectively monitored, without constantly reminding them of their former ‘ill’ status? How can their psychological and social wellbeing be supported in addition to their physical wellbeing? How can a global prevention programme be set up? After finishing their treatment, most patients vanish from the medical system. Many never want to set foot in a hospital again, they want to move on. Once they turn 18, survivors will tend to look to general practitioners for their healthcare, but many GPs have no idea about the kind of follow up that is needed.

In 2013, the Institut Gustave Roussy in Paris launched a free follow-up consultation for their former patients.
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They have an ambitious agenda: they want to organise themselves, inform their peers, improve communication with medical teams, and improve systems of follow-up, harmonising guidelines across Europe. Many associations of childhood cancer survivors have been set up, using social networks – Facebook, Twitter, websites and online forums – which are a great source of solidarity and support.

Online activism is also spreading fast, one impressive example being the work of US journalist and patient advocate, Suleika Jaouad. Diagnosed with leukaemia at 18, she chronicled her experiences for the New York Times, documenting her chemotherapy treatment on Instagram, building up a huge online following, which in turn led to invitations to speak out about paediatric cancer at many high-profile events.

“It’s been several years since I first felt the need to meet with other former patients and share what I myself had experienced,” says Kai-Yan Ly, who found Les Aguerris by chance on the Internet, and is now vice-president. Diagnosed with lymphoma at the age of 7, and then with a second cancer at 23, Kai-Yan, now 26, has a calm voice and a Buddhist demeanour. “Having faced this disease twice reminded me of the essential value of a life: do not forget yourself,” she says. Today, she wants to have the same rights and responsibilities as other people, without denying her particular history.

“Talking about cancer is still a strong taboo in our society. When it comes to children, the stigma is even stronger. When you have cancer, shame is a very strong feeling. You are ashamed of being ill, ashamed to be hurting the people close to you, ashamed of your reactions sometimes. The feeling of guilt is always there.”

How can it be released? How can it be turned into a positive force? In November 2014, Kai-Yan participated in a television broadcast about life after childhood cancer. It was the first time this topic had been aired in public. Laughing, she describes it as a kind of ‘outing’ – a terminology first suggested by her oncologist. “I had never imagined I could talk publicly on TV, I was afraid of being blamed. I thought about the consequences for my personal life; it was not easy to talk about such an intimate experience. But when I thought about the people who had lost one of their relatives or about the patients who didn’t survive, my decision was clear. I spoke out and my feeling changed from shame to a sense of personal pride.”
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